

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/590,569	08/24/2006	Yoshio Kanzaki	KANZAKI I	8584
1444 ·7590 12/13/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			NGUYEN, KHANH TUAN	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,569	KANZAKI, YOSHIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh T. Nguyen	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2006</u> .					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
• *	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	70 O.G. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the discount of accepted for abeyance. See it is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>28 November 2006</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 08/24/2006 is entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. Claims 34-49 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 1-33 have been canceled.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Applicant's Priority Documents were filed on 02/24/2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/28/2006 have been initialed by Examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawing(s) submitted on 08/24/2006 have been considered by Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The Examiner noted that a hydrolysis step is not necessarily needed for producing phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide (Specification page 9, lines 11-14).
- 7. Claims 34-36, 37, 39, 40-42, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436 hereinafter, "Nagan").

With respect to claims 34-36, 41-42, and 49, Nagan teaches a method of preparing a polyacrylamide-phosphonic acid material (i.e. polymer) having a high charge density by Sortwell method and apparatus for water treatment (Col. 2, lines 25-30 and Col. 2, line 63 to Col. 3, line10). The said polyacrylamide-phosphonic acid polymer is prepared by adding phosphorous acid to an acrylamide polymer solution under acid conditions (pH between 1-2) at temperatures ranging between 34° F and about 200° F (about 1° C and about 93° C) (Col. 2, lines 54-62). The acrylamide polymer may be selected from homogenous or a copolymer of acrylamide and methacrylamide, acrylate or metal salts of acrylic acid (Col. 3, lines 20-28). The solid level (mass %) of said acrylamide polymer is only limited by the ability of the polymer to quickly obtained a homogeneous mixture with the phosphorous acid (Col. 4, lines 32-36). The amount of phosphorous acid range from about 20-200 mole % based on the acrylamide backbone content (Col. 4, lines 36-43). Nagan teaches the said

10/590,569

Art Unit: 1796

polyacrylamide-phosphonic acid polymer having phosphono pendants (i.e. phosphorous acid groups) attached to the amides groups of the polyacrylamide backbone (Col. 2, lines 30-40). The disclosure, in its broadest interpretation, is considered to read on the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide having a phosphorous acid group directly bonded to a nitrogen atom of an amide group in the (meth)acrylamide monomer. Nagan teaches a substantially similar process and substantially similar polyacrylamide-phosphonic acid material, therefore the said polyacrylamide-phosphonic acid material should reasonably comprise comonomers containing similar amount of ethylenically unsaturated bonds and one or more (or none) acid groups in the molecule.

Nagan failed to teach a phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide presented by formula (9) and/or formula (11).

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formulated a phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide polymer with the structure of formula (9) and formula (11) because such as reaction for bonding a phosphorous acid group to an amide group in the (meth)acrylamide backbone is taught by Nagan in order to provide a high charge density polymer (Col. 2, lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 37, 39, and 40, the subject matter would have been obvious to the skilled artisan because the patentability of a product by process claim does not depend on its method of production and where the examiner has found a similar product, the burden rests with the applicant to prove that that product is patentably

10/590,569

Art Unit: 1796

distinct. See In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; In re Pilkington, 162 USPQ 145. "The lack of physical description in a product-by-process claim makes the determination of the patentability of the claim more difficult, since in spite of the fact that the claim may recite only process limitations, it is the patentability of the product claimed and not the process that must be established. We are therefore of the opinion that when the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be identical with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a rejection based alternatively on either section 102 or 103 of the statute is eminently fair and acceptable. As a practical matter, the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith." In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685,688 (CCPA 1972).

8. Claims 38, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436) as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Osada et al. (Machine English Translated JP Pub. 09-324391 hereinafter, "Osada").

Nagan is relied upon as set forth above. With respect to instant claims 38, 43, and 44, Nagan failed to suggest (a) an unsaturated comonomer (i.e. cross-linking agent) containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds and one or more acid groups in a molecule, and/or (b) an unsaturated comonomer containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds but no acid group in a molecule.

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

In an analogous art, Osada teaches the acrylamide (meta) system water-

dispersion macromolecule comprising a cross-linking monomer having two or more

ethylenically unsaturated bonds to provide sufficient paper durability effectiveness

([0018]-[0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide material of Nagan by incorporating a cross-linking monomer containing ethylenically unsaturated bonds as suggested by Osada in order to provide sufficient durability effectiveness to paper.

9. Claims 34-36, 37, 39, 40-42, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitani (Machine English Translated JP Pub. 55-025473 hereinafter, "Kitani") in view of Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436).

Kitani teaches a polymer substance useful in water treatment, paper composite material and heat insulator obtained by polymerizing an acrylamide compound in the presence of phosphoric acid (Abstract).

Kitani failed to teach the phosphorous acid group bonding to a nitrogen atom of an amide group in the acrylamide compound.

In an analogous art, Nagan teaches a polymer material used in water treatment obtained by reacting an acrylamide polymer with a phosphorous acid to provide a high charge density polymer (Abstract and Col. 2, lines 25-30). Nagan further teaches the phosphorous acid group (i.e. phosphono pendant) is attached to the amide group of the

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

polacrylamide backbone (Col. 2, lines 30-40). The disclosure is considered to read on the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide having a phosphorous acid group directly bonded to a nitrogen atom of an amide group in the (meth)acrylamide monomer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrive at the claimed phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide composition because such a phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide composition with phosphorous acid group bonded to an amide group (i.e. nitrogen containing group) in the (meth)acrylamide monomer is suggested by Kitani in view of Nagan in order to provide a high charge density polymer. Furthermore, any difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct, not the examiner to show the same process of making, see *In re* Brown, 173 *USPQ 685* and *In re* Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324.

- 10. Claims 38, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitani (JP Pub. 55-025473) in view of Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436) as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Osada (JP Pub. 09-324391).
- Kitani and Nagan are relied upon as set forth above. With respect to instant claims 38, 43, and 44, Kitani and Nagan failed to suggest (a) an unsaturated

10/590,569

Art Unit: 1796

comonomer (i.e. cross-linking agent) containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds and one or more acid groups in a molecule, and/or (b) an unsaturated comonomer containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds but no acid group in a molecule.

In an analogous art, Osada teaches the acrylamide (meta) system water-dispersion macromolecule comprising a cross-linking monomer having two or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds to provide sufficient paper durability effectiveness ([0018]-[0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide material of Kitani in view of Nagan by incorporating a cross-linking monomer containing ethylenically unsaturated bonds as suggested by Osada in order to provide sufficient durability effectiveness to paper.

11. Claims 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitani (JP Pub. 55-025473) in view of Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436) as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Abe et al. (Machine English Translated JP Pub. 2003-257238 hereinafter, "Abe").

Kitani and Nagan are relied upon as set forth above. With respect to instant claims 45 and 46, Kitani and Nagan failed to suggest a polymer electrolyte membrane made of a phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide comprising of (meth)acrylamide monomer and phosphoric acid.

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

Abe teaches a solid electrolyte membrane made of a phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide comprising of (meth)acrylamide monomer and phosphoric acid having durability and mechanical strength. (Abstract, [0001-0002], [0012-0014], and [0035-0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to used the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide material of Kitani in view of Nagan in an electrolyte membrane as taught by Abe in order to provide a proton conduction membrane with durability and mechanical strength.

12. Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitani (JP Pub. 55-025473) in view of Nagan (U.S Pat. 5,393,436) further in view of Abe (JP Pub. 2003-257238) as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Osada (JP Pub. 09-324391).

Kitani, Nagan, and Abe are relied upon as set forth above. With respect to instant claims 47 and 48, Kitani, Nagan, and Abe failed to suggest (a) an unsaturated comonomer (i.e. cross-linking agent) containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds and one or more acid groups in a molecule, and/or (b) an unsaturated comonomer containing one or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds but no acid group in a molecule.

10/590,569 Art Unit: 1796

Osada teaches the acrylamide (meta) system water-dispersion macromolecule comprising a cross-linking monomer having two or more ethylenically unsaturated bonds to provide sufficient durability effectiveness ([0018]-[0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the phosphorous-acid-group-containing (meth)acrylamide material of Kitani in view of Nagan further in view of Abe by incorporating a cross-linking monomer containing ethylenically unsaturated bonds as suggested by Osada in order to provide sufficient durability effectiveness.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00 EST PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lm

KTN 12/05/2007 Lorun M. Daugar

LORNAM. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER